

Appl. No. : 10/633,404
Filed : August 1, 2003

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The telephonic interview was conducted on April 25, 2007 and attended by Examiner Mallari and Applicants' representative Laura Johnson.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 1, 22, 18, 39, 60, 64, and 65.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.S. Patent No. 6,424,847 ("Mastrototaro"); U.S. Patent No. 6,122,536 ("Sun et al."); U.S. Publ. No. 2002/0026111 ("Ackerman"); and U.S. Publ. No. 2003/0130616 ("Steil et al.").

Proposed Amendments

Applicants' representative proposed amending Claim 1 to include the limitations of allowable Claim 2, amending Claim 22 to include the limitations of allowable Claim 23, and amending Claim 43 to include the limitations of allowable Claim 44, as well as additional amendments to each of Claims 1, 22, and 43 as reflected in the set of amendments presented in this response. Applicants' representative proposed amending Claims 18, 39, and 60 into independent format, without additional amendments. Applicants also proposed amending Claims 64 and 65 to recite the limitations as in Claims 2, 23, and 44, as well as additional amendments as reflected in the set of amendments presented in this response.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' representative argued that the proposed amendments to Claims 1, 22, and 43 did not substantively impact the patentability of the previously indicated allowable subject matter, and that amendment of Claims 64 and 65 to recite evaluating "at least one of a rate of change of the analyte concentration, a congruence of respective sensor and reference data in matched data pairs, and physiological changes" distinguished over Mastrototaro.

Results of Interview

Applicants' representative was thankful for Examiner Mallari's indication that the proposed amendments to Claims 1, 22, and 43 did not appear to substantively impact the patentability of the previously indicated allowable subject matter. Examiner Mallari indicated

Appl. No. : **10/633,404**
Filed : **August 1, 2003**

further searching would be needed as to the patentability of Claims 64 and 65 if amended as proposed by Applicants' representative.